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## Data


$\longrightarrow B$ Boolean (output) $\quad$ ——oolean (input)
$\longrightarrow$ Integer (output) っ— Integer (input)


$\frac{\vdash \text { Program 1 : Bool } \rightarrow \mathrm{Int} \quad \frac{\vdash \text { Program } 2: \text { Int } \rightarrow \text { Bool } \quad \vdash \text { data }: \text { Int }}{\vdash \text { Program } 2(\text { data }): \text { Bool }}}{\vdash \text { Program1 (Program } 2(\text { data }): \text { Int }}$

| $\vdash$ Program 1: Bool $\rightarrow$ Int | $\vdash$ Program 2 : Int $\rightarrow$ Bool $\quad \vdash$ da |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\vdash$ Program 2 (data) : Bool |
| $\vdash$ Program1 (Program 2 (data)) : Int |  |
|  | 2 |
|  | $\vdash$ Int $\rightarrow$ Bool $\vdash$ Int |
| $\vdash$ Bool $\rightarrow$ Int | $\vdash$ Bool |
| $\vdash$ Int |  |
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## Some Achievements

- Fine-grained type systems for Ptime, L, NL, Pspace, etc.
- Differential privacy (Gaboardi et al., 2013)
- Computation over the reals (Férée et al., 2015)
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The rules for storage naturally induce polynomials:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Storage } & \frac{!_{y} \Gamma \vdash A}{!_{x} \Gamma \vdash!_{x} A} \\
\text { Contraction } & \frac{\Gamma,!_{x} A,!_{y} A \vdash B}{\Gamma,!_{x+y} A \vdash B}
\end{array} \quad \text { Deakening } \frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma,!_{0} A \vdash B}
$$
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## Machine-dependent

Turing machine, Random access machine, Counter machine, ...
bounds
4uxiliary pushdown machine.

Machine-independent

Bounded recursion on notation (Cobham, 1965), Bounded linear logic (Girard et al., 1992), Bounded arithmetic (Buss, 1986), ...

Descriptive complexity (Fagin, 1973),
Recursion on notation (Bellantoni and C.onk, 1992),
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2NFA(k, p)

- Automata
-     + Non-Deterministic
-+ with $p \geqslant 0$ pushdown stacks
-     + 2-ways
-+ with $k \geqslant 1$ heads.
Main characterizations
Automata Language / Predicate
2NFA(1,0) Regular
2NFA(1,1) Context-free
2NFA(*, 0) Non-Deterministic Logarithmic space (NL)
2NFA ( $*, 1$ ) Polynomial time (Ptime)
2NFA(1,2) Computable
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## Example

$$
x \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{1}(\mathrm{c})
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}_{2}(w, w) \cdot \mathrm{A}_{1}(\mathrm{~d})
$$

Unifiable?
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## Logic Programming

- A programming paradigm
- Computation = unification
- Turing-complete

Used in...

- Prolog, Datalog
- Type-inference in Haskell and ML
- Models of Linear Logic (Baillot and Pedicini, 2001; Girard, 2013)
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Flows
A flow is a pair of terms $t \leftharpoonup u$ with $\operatorname{Var}(t) \subseteq \operatorname{Var}(u)$.

## Balanced

A flow $t<u$ is balanced if for any $x \in \operatorname{Var}(t) \cup \operatorname{Var}(u)$, all occurrences of $x$ in both $t$ and $u$ have the same height.

## Unary

A flow is unary if it is built using only unary function symbols and a variable.
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In increasing order of complexity:

- Write an intepreter for Automata (Chakraborty, Saxena, and Katti, 2011)
- The odd status of inputs
- Knowledge transfers
- Encode other variations of automata

Classroom Presentation lacl.fr/~caubert/ASU/cp.html
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## Benefits:

- Re-usable skills
- Small community = strong (international) impact
- So much to be done!
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- Alisha Sprinkle + Richard Elaver (Assistant Professor of Industrial Design) =

— ? + Richard Elaver = Python to design
- ? + Mark Nystrom (Associate Professor in the Art department) = Artistic Coding!
$-?+?=$ Web design

Thanks!
$\infty<\infty$
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